Canadian English (CanE) presents the textbook example of dialectological homogeneity (Chambers 2006), with attribution for its undifferentiated urban structure going to the Loyalists (Bloomfield 1975; Avis 1973). That is, CanE homogeneity is argued to derive from source input (cf. Zelinsky’s 1992 *First Effective Settlement*; Mufwene’s 1995 *Founder Principle*), and not from subsequent leveling or convergence. The emphasis on the founding population is well-motivated by Canadian historical demographics; the Loyalists drove westward expansion. At the same time, recent sociolinguistic research indicates (1) that the social evaluation of dialect features may ‘over-rule’ input forms, effectively changing the overall character of local language (e.g. Philadelphia; Labov et al. 2013), and (2) that homogeneity itself might be one such sociolinguistic motive (Chambers 2012). Since the development of the Loyalist hypothesis, which relies in large part on 40-year-old synchronic research (e.g., Avis 1973; Scargill & Warkentyne 1972), large diachronic corpora of regional CanE varieties have been constructed, enabling direct testing of founder effects.

We operationalize a well-studied discourse-pragmatic variable known to be undergoing change—general extenders (GEs), as in (1)—to contrast two geographically disparate regions of Canada with similar input and overtly Anglo-English roots. With its multitude of variants, the GE system is a dialectologically optimal candidate for regional variation to have developed (cf. Tagliamonte 2012 on intensifiers). We therefore examine the trajectory of GE variants over the longue durée in an attempt to untangle their evolution in CanE.

(1) a. I can remember learning how to use a lathe and stuff like that.
   b. They would fly in fresh meat and so on like that.

Our data represent 120 years of language use in two regions of Canada: Southern Ontario (ONT) (Denis 2014; Tagliamonte 2006) in the east and Greater Victoria, British Columbia (VIC) (D’Arcy 2014) in the west. We follow the methodology of Cheshire (2007) (among others); nearly 5000 GE tokens from 163 speakers are considered.

The broad trend is regional parallelism. In both ONT and VIC, and stuff (like that) rises across the twentieth century, becoming the dominant variant. However, there are three critical points of contrast. First, stuff arrives later in VIC (c. 1920) than ONT (c. 1880). Second, in ONT stuff enters a system rife with many low frequency variants. In VIC, the innovative variant enters a more restricted system. Third, shorter and stuff leads the way in VIC, while in ONT longer and stuff like that does.

These results suggest historically different starting points and different paths of change that ultimately converge on a similar synchronic state. In this case, convergence, not input, is responsible for homogeneity. Notably, the rise of stuff may be part of a broader, global trend (cf. Cheshire 2007; Pichler & Levey 2011). Although regional linguistic differences are known to evolve within homogeneous dialects (Schneider 2007; Trudgill 2004), our results suggest that the future of CanE will be defined by the competing motivations of homogeneity, regionalism, and the seemingly unavoidable spread of ‘mega-trends’ (Rodríguez Louro et al. 2014).
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